

Annex B: Statistical modelling

Methodology overview

1. This annex outlines the methodology used for the statistical modelling of the attainment of first and upper second class degrees combined, and of first class degrees alone.

Graduate population

2. The graduate population in this analysis comprises UK-domiciled first degree graduates who studied full-time, were registered at higher education providers in England and graduated in the academic years from 2010-11 to 2021-22 with a classified honours degree.
3. This population (Graduate population A) is summarised in Table 3 of Annex A and can be rebuilt using the following fields described in the OfS publication 'Technical algorithms for student outcome and experience measures':¹
 - DFAPAPPEXCL = 0
 - IPDOQUALPOP = 1
 - IPCOUNTRY = 'E'
 - IPSTARTMODE = 'FT'
 - IPBASEYEAR in (2010, 2011, 2012, 2013, 2014, 2015, 2016, 2017, 2018, 2019, 2020,2021).
4. In our analysis this population was further limited to those who qualified from English providers awarding at least 10 classified honours degrees in each of the academic years considered. This population is summarised in Table 3 of Annex A.

Method to determine 'unexplained' attainment

5. Mixed-effects logistic regression modelling was employed to investigate the extent to which changes in degree classification attainment between 2010-122 and 2021-22 can be accounted for by changes in the makeup of the graduate population in terms of the explanatory variables included in the modelling.
6. The modelling used to investigate degree attainment changes with time at the sector level includes explanatory variables relating to the provider at which the graduate was registered, graduation year and various key graduate characteristics. The effects of the following were included as explanatory variables in the model:
 - the provider at which the graduate was registered
 - year of graduation

¹ See 'Technical algorithms for student outcome and experience measures', available at www.officeforstudents.org.uk/publications/description-and-definition-of-student-outcome-and-experience-measures/.

- subject of study
 - qualifications on entry into higher education
 - age.
7. We interact subject of study, entry qualifications and age with year of graduation to account for changes in awarding, especially since the start of the coronavirus pandemic. These interactions can be seen in Equation B1.
 8. Our model allows us to predict the proportion of graduates awarded a first or an upper second class degree, or a first class degree, accounting for the effects of the explanatory variables.
 9. To investigate and isolate the effect of graduation year on degree attainment, the following methodology was applied:
 - a. The models provide the probability of an individual with given characteristics attaining a first or upper second class degree, or a first class degree.
 - b. The predicted probability for a given group of individuals (e.g. white female students graduating in 2011-12) may then be determined by taking the mean of the predicted probabilities of the individuals in that group.
 - c. To investigate the effect of graduation year on degree classification attainment, the model is applied to the entire reported graduate population, but with the academic year of graduation for all graduates in the population changed to 2010-11.
 - d. The observed value for the proportion of graduates attaining a first or upper second class degree, or a first class degree, in each academic year is then compared with the model's predicted value for the same graduates, had they graduated in 2010-11.
 - e. Any differences between the predicted and observed values is said to be 'unexplained', and a result of unobserved effects between academic years that have not been accounted for and have not been included as explanatory variables in the model. It is not possible to determine from this analysis what these additional unobserved factors are.
 10. In summary, we estimate the 'unexplained' difference in the proportion of graduates attaining a first or upper second class degree, or a first class degree, had they graduated in 2010-11, compared with the actual year of their graduation.

Model details

11. Here we detail the model used to describe the attainment of first and upper second class degrees combined, and of first class degrees alone.
12. Mixed-effects logistic regression has been used to model the probability of graduate i attaining a first or an upper second class degree, or a first class degree, from provider j , accounting for the effect of the explanatory variables outlined in paragraph 6 of this annex.

13. Following the changes in attainment of particular groups of students during the coronavirus pandemic we added ‘year’ interaction terms to better capture year-on-year sector changes in attainment for age, qualifications on entry and subject of study.

14. The specification of the statistical model used in the analysis is displayed in Equation B1.

Equation B1: Mixed-effects logistic regression model for graduate degree attainment

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \text{first or upper second class OR first class} \sim \text{Binomial}(n_{ij}, \pi_{ij}) \\
 \text{logit}(\pi_{ij}) = & \beta_{0j} + u_{0j} + \sum_{Y=1}^{12} (\beta_Y + u_{Yj})X_{Yij} + \sum_{Sbj=1}^{21} \beta_{Sbj}X_{Sbjij} + \sum_{Q=1}^{23} \beta_QX_{Qij} + \sum_{A=1}^2 \beta_A X_{Aij} \\
 & + \sum_{(Q*A)=1}^{46} \beta_{(Q*A)}X_{(Q*A)ij} + \sum_{(Y*Sbj)=1}^{252} \beta_{(Y*Sbj)}X_{(Y*Sbj)ij} + \sum_{(Y*Q)=1}^{276} \beta_{(Y*Q)}X_{(Y*Q)ij} \\
 & + \sum_{(Y*A)=1}^{24} \beta_{(Y*A)}X_{(Y*A)ij}
 \end{aligned}$$

Note: A number of the terms included in this equation are zero, as they refer to a reference category (see Table B1 for detail of reference categories).

15. In Equation B1 the β s represent the fixed effect coefficients that are common to individuals across all providers (the sector) and years,² X s (0 or 1) represent whether or not an individual has the characteristics (Y = academic year of graduation, Sbj = subject of study, Q = entry qualifications, A = age, $Q * A$ = interaction between entry qualifications and age, $Y * Sbj$ = interaction between academic year of graduation and subject of study, $Y * Q$ = interaction between academic year of graduation and entry qualifications, $Y * A$ = interaction between academic year of graduation, u_{0j} is the random intercept for provider j and u_{Yj} represents the random coefficient for provider j in academic year Y with

$$u_{0j} \sim N(0, \sigma_{u_0}^2)$$

$$u_{Yj} \sim N(0, \sigma_{u_Y}^2).$$

16. A full summary of the variables used in the model, and the categories within those variables, is given in Table B1. Table B1 does not include the various interaction terms featured in the model (496 non-zero estimates). Each year is interacted with the student characteristics independently, resulting in the large number of interaction terms.

17. Fixed effect coefficient estimates, standard errors and p-values for models can be found in Table 6 of Annex A. Estimates for the interactions terms are not included in this table. They are available on request.

² The summation term for academic year of graduation includes the reference year of 2010-11, as each provider has a random coefficient for all years but the fixed effect 2010-11 coefficient $\beta_1 = 0$ (reference categories for other explanatory variables are omitted from the model structure).

Table B1: Variables used in the graduate degree attainment modelling (all categorical)

| Model variable name | Description |
|---------------------------------|---|
| Academic year (Y) | Academic year of graduation: 2010-11 (ref) 2011-12 2012-13 2013-14 2014-15 2015-16 2016-17 2017-18 2018-19 2019-20 2020-21 2021-22 |
| Subject of study (Sbj) | Subject studied: Agriculture, food and related studies Architecture, building and planning Biological and sport sciences Business and management (ref) Combined and general studies Computing Design, and creative and performing arts Education and teaching Engineering and technology Geography, earth and environmental studies Historical, philosophical and religious studies Language and area studies Law Mathematical sciences Media, journalism and communications Medicine and dentistry Physical sciences Psychology Social sciences Subjects allied to medicine Veterinary sciences |
| Entry qualifications (Q) | Entry qualifications of the graduate: A-level: AAA and above (ref) A-level: AAB A-level: AAC A-level: ABB A-level: ABC |

| Model variable name | Description |
|---------------------|---|
| | A-level: ACC A-level: BBB A-level: BBC A-level: BCC A-level: CCC A-level: CCD A-level: CDD A-level: DDD A-level: Below DDD BTEC: DDD and above BTEC: DDM BTEC: DMM BTEC: MMM and below 2 A-levels and 1 BTEC 1 A-levels and 2 BTEC International Baccalaureate Other Level 3 No Level 3 Equivalent |
| Age (A) | Age on entry Under 21 (Young) (ref) 21 and over (Mature) |

Note: Those categories marked with '(ref)' are the reference categories for each categorical or dummy variable and are not formally included in the model structure (they are equal to 0).

18. Estimates of the variance components and their standard errors for the random intercepts and random year coefficients are shown for first and upper second class and first class degrees in Table B2.

Table B2: Variance component estimates for the models for first or upper second class and first class only degree attainment

| | Random effect | First or upper second class model estimate | First or upper second class model standard error | First class model estimate | First class model standard error |
|------------------|------------------|--|--|----------------------------|----------------------------------|
| Intercept | $\sigma_{u_0}^2$ | 0.106 | 0.015 | 0.156 | 0.019 |
| Year | $\sigma_{u_y}^2$ | 0.034 | 0.002 | 0.038 | 0.002 |

19. Model fit statistics for first and upper second class and first class degrees can be found in Table B3.

Table B3: Model fit statistics for the models for first or upper second class and first class only degree attainment

| Statistic | First or upper second class model | First class model |
|-------------------------------------|--|--------------------------|
| -2logLikelihood | 2,981,870 | 3,161,777 |
| Akaike information criterion | 2,982,978 | 3,162,885 |